

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/629,234	BHATTACHARYA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Andy Ho	2194	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowance

 (1) Andy Ho.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Steven Spellman (Reg. No. 45,124).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 June 2005
**Time:** 6:00 pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

27-29

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During the communication on 6/9/2005, the examiner informed the applicant's representative (Mr. Steven Spellman) of the status of the application in which claims 1-26 and 30-35 are allowed, claims 27-29 are withdrawn based on previous election. A decision of canceling claims 27-29 had been made. The applicant's representative also authorized the examiner to make the changes to the application in an examiner's amendment. .